

Ernst equation and spheroidal coordinates with a cosmological constant term

C.Charmousis

LPT, Université de Paris-Sud,
Bât. 210, 91405 Orsay CEDEX, France
LPT-0672

E-mail: christos.charmousis@th.u-psud.fr

Abstract. We discuss solution generating techniques treating stationary and axially symmetric metrics in the presence of a cosmological constant. Using the recently found extended form of Ernst's complex equation, which takes into account the cosmological constant term, we propose an extension of spheroidal coordinates adapted to asymptotically de-Sitter and anti de-Sitter static spacetimes. In the absence of a cosmological constant we show in addition that any higher dimensional metric parametrised by a single angular momentum can be given by a 4 dimensional solution and Weyl potentials parametrising the extra Killing directions. We explicitly show how a stationary, and a static axially symmetric spacetime solution in 4 dimensions, can be *added* together to give a 5 dimensional stationary and axisymmetric solution.

1. Introduction

In recent years there has been an increasing effort in finding exact solutions of higher dimensional gravity [1], [2], [3], [4]. In particular, since the pioneering work of Maldacena [5] bringing into perspective the adS/CFT correspondence some effort has been devoted to understanding the effect of the cosmological constant term in Einstein gravity (see for example [6], [7], [8]). Such studies have also been motivated recently from braneworld gravity. Using the adS/CFT correspondence in the context of braneworlds [9], intriguing relations between bulk higher dimensional black holes and their 4-dimensional quantum versions (see also [10]) have been put forward. If this correspondance were true, exact higher dimensional solutions would be providing intriguing information about the quantum description of 4 dimensional black holes from higher dimensional classical solutions. However (and not-surprisingly) such solutions have been proven very difficult to find analytically, and in particular in the presence of a cosmological constant term, which is vital for an adS/CFT description. It seems that better understanding of solution generating techniques as well as the investigation of convenient coordinate systems, involving the cosmological constant term, are needed in order to tackle such problems were, even in the case of 4 dimensional general relativity, very little is known.

In a recent paper [8], rotating spacetimes of axial symmetry were studied in the presence of a cosmological constant. Classical techniques, as that of Lewis-Papapetrou [15], were developed there to include the cosmological constant term. In this letter, we will firstly focus on the Ernst equation [16], as well as extend on a solution generating method developed in [8]. The Ernst equation, extended for a cosmological constant term [8], will permit us here to propose an extension of spheroidal coordinates to adS/dS static black holes. These coordinates, for $\Lambda = 0$, have been shown to be very useful in the study of stationary metrics. In particular Ernst [16] showed how one could generate rather simply Kerr's solution starting from Schwarzschild. The extension of asymptotically flat coordinate systems to asymptotically $\Lambda \neq 0$ coordinates maybe very important in order to find novel stationary solutions such as the *adS* version of the black ring solution [2]. The latter solution generating method on the other hand will allow us, using Weyl's classical GR formalism, to extend 4 dimensional solutions to higher dimensional ones. In particular we will show how by literally adding together the potentials of 4 dimensional static and stationary metrics we can construct 5 dimensional stationary ones.

In 4 dimensional general relativity Einstein's equations in the vacuum $R_{AB} = 0$, guarantee that any locally static and axially-symmetric metric can be written as

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\lambda} dt^2 + e^{-2\lambda} [\alpha^2 d\varphi^2 + e^{2\chi} (dr^2 + dz^2)], \quad (1)$$

where the metric components depend on r and z . Since the field equation for α reads,

$$\Delta\alpha = 0 \quad (2)$$

by a suitable 2 dimensional conformal coordinate transformation we can set $\alpha = R$ thus obtaining the Weyl form [12]

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\lambda} dt^2 + e^{-2\lambda} [R^2 d\varphi^2 + e^{2\chi} (dR^2 + dZ^2)], \quad (3)$$

where λ , the Weyl potential, and χ depend on R , Z and satisfy the field equations,

$$\left(\partial_R^2 + \frac{1}{R} \partial_R + \partial_Z^2 \right) \lambda = 0. \quad (4)$$

$$\partial_R \chi = R \left[(\partial_R \lambda)^2 - (\partial_Z \lambda)^2 \right], \quad \partial_Z \chi = 2 R \partial_R \lambda \partial_Z \lambda \quad (5)$$

Equation (4) is the linear Laplace equation written in three dimensional cylindrical coordinates. Given that (4) is a linear equation, a general solution can be found by simple separation of variables and imposing adequate asymptotic boundary conditions [13]. In a more pictorial manner, one can view Weyl potentials λ , as Newtonian sources in three dimensions and can, according to (4) even superpose them creating new solutions from known ones. Once the Weyl potential λ has been specified, one evaluates the χ field by direct integration from (5). Equations (5) actually carry the full non-linearity of Einstein's equations. Weyl potentials are not unique and are associated to the patch of coordinates we are using. Flat space for example has Weyl potentials (modulo a constant) given by,

$$\lambda = 0, \quad \lambda = \ln R, \quad \lambda = \frac{1}{2} \ln(\sqrt{R^2 + Z^2} + Z) \quad (6)$$

where in particular the last one is adapted to an accelerating Rindler patch. A Schwarzschild black hole of mass M has Weyl potential given by

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{R_+ + R_- - 2M}{R_+ + R_- + 2M} \right) \quad (7)$$

where $R_{\pm} = R^2 + (Z \pm 2M)^2$. As we mentioned one can superpose black hole Weyl potentials obtaining multiple black hole solutions [14]. Typically when sources are superposed, conical singularities for $R \rightarrow 0$ appear, and are interpreted as struts holding, or strings pulling the sources apart thus keeping the attractive sources in a *static* equilibrium.

In essence, Weyl components adapt the problem of finding solutions to a three dimensional flat coordinate system. This system is convenient for analysing the solutions in parallel with their Newtonian sources but is not always tailored to the solutions themselves. Quite often it is more suitable to adapt the coordinate system to the Weyl potential λ rather than α . This is one of the ideas behind spheroidals although they were not initially introduced or defined this way. These coordinates were first discussed in the context of axial symmetric spacetimes by Zipoy¹ [19] (see also [3] for $D \geq 4$). Consider polar-like coordinates (u, ψ) but with hyperbolae as radial functions, that is

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \cosh u \cos \psi, \\ R &= \sinh u \sin \psi, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

so that in the (R, Z) plane $\psi = \text{const}$ curves are hyperboloids and $u = \text{const}$ are ellipsoids. On setting $x = \cosh u$ and $y = \cos \psi$, the coordinate system becomes anew symmetric in x and y .

Consider a Schwarzschild black hole: the standard metric,

$$ds^2 = - \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{1 - \frac{2M}{r}} + r^2 d\Omega_{II}^2 \quad (9)$$

can be rewritten in Weyl coordinates (r, z) of (1) setting $r^2/2M = \cosh^2(r/2)$ and $\theta = z$. The conformal transformation to (3) and (8) gives

$$e^{2\lambda} = \frac{x-1}{x+1} \quad (10)$$

so that in spheroidals the Weyl potential for (9) is rather simple. We will come back to this point in a moment but first let us switch-on rotation.

Lewis and Papapetrou [15] generalised the approach of Weyl to stationary and axisymmetric solutions in vacuum. After a conformal transformation, the metric takes the Lewis-Papapetrou form

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\lambda} (dt + A d\varphi)^2 + e^{-2\lambda} [R^2 d\varphi^2 + e^{2\chi} (dR^2 + dZ^2)], \quad (11)$$

which differs from the static form by the additional component $A = A(R, Z)$. Note that ∂_t is no longer a static but rather a stationary locally timelike Killing vector field. For the metric (11), Ernst [16] pointed out an interesting reformulation of Einstein's equations for A and λ , which read respectively

$$\partial_R \left(\frac{e^{4\lambda}}{R} \partial_R A \right) + \partial_Z \left(\frac{e^{4\lambda}}{R} \partial_Z A \right) = 0, \quad \left(\partial_R^2 + \frac{1}{R} \partial_R + \partial_Z^2 \right) \lambda = \frac{e^{4\lambda}}{2R^2} [(\partial_R A)^2 + (\partial_Z A)^2]. \quad (12)$$

Indeed introduce an auxiliary field, ω , defined by

$$(-\partial_Z \omega, \partial_R \omega) = \frac{e^{4\lambda}}{R} (\partial_R A, \partial_Z A), \quad (13)$$

¹ According to [19] such coordinates were used to describe the exact Newtonian gravitational field of the Earth.

and the complex function

$$\mathcal{E} = e^{2\lambda} + i\omega \quad (14)$$

then satisfies the differential equation

$$\frac{1}{R} \vec{\nabla} \cdot (R \vec{\nabla} \mathcal{E}) = \frac{(\vec{\nabla} \mathcal{E})^2}{\text{Re}(\mathcal{E})} \quad (15)$$

where $\vec{\nabla} = (\partial_R, \partial_Z)$. This complex partial differential equation is known as the Ernst equation [16]. Its real and imaginary part are exactly (12). In this language, the Weyl potential λ is simply given by the real part of the Ernst potential $\mathcal{E}$, whereas rotation is embodied by a non-trivial ω (or A).

Using the symmetries of complex functions, several methods have been proposed to obtain solutions of the Ernst equation (15) and hence to generate new solutions (see [11], [16], [17] and references within). An elegant example appeared in Ernst's original paper [16], namely a simple method to obtain the Kerr solution from the Schwarzschild solution using spheroidal coordinates. Indeed, consider the Mobius transform,

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{\xi - 1}{\xi + 1}. \quad (16)$$

defining a new potential ξ which now solves the Ernst equation,

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\alpha \vec{\nabla} \xi) = \frac{2\xi^* (\vec{\nabla} \xi)^2}{|\xi|^2 - 1}, \quad (17)$$

where a star denotes complex conjugation.² It follows immediately from (10) and (14) that $\xi = x$ for (9). Hence we notice that our transformed Ernst potential ξ is now the new 'radial' coordinate x . This is in contrast to (1) where $\alpha = R$ and the Ernst potential is given by (7). In other words we have adapted the coordinate system to the real part of the black hole Ernst potential. We will be using this as our starting definition for extending spheroidal coordinates when we will switch on the cosmological constant term. Just in order to complete the discussion on the construction of Kerr, given the $x \leftrightarrow y$ symmetry, $\xi = y$ is also solution of (15), as is $\xi = x \sin \vartheta + iy \cos \vartheta$. It turns out that this is nothing other than the Ernst potential of the Kerr black hole, where $\sin \vartheta = a/M$ is the ratio between the angular momentum parameter and the mass of the black hole [16].

When we consider a D -dimensional spacetime with a cosmological constant, a rotating metric of axial symmetry and with a single component angular momentum can be conveniently written in the form [8],

$$ds^2 = e^{2\nu} \alpha^{-\frac{D-3}{D-2}} (dr^2 + dz^2) + \alpha^{\frac{2}{D-2}} \left[e^{-\sqrt{\frac{D-4}{2(D-2)}} \Psi_0} \left[-e^{\frac{\Omega}{2}} (dt + Ad\varphi)^2 + e^{\frac{-\Omega}{2}} d\varphi^2 \right] + e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{(D-2)(D-4)}} \Psi_0} \sum_{i=1}^{D-4} e^{2\Psi_i} (dx^i)^2 \right]. \quad (18)$$

We have $(D-2)$ Killing vectors but as for $D=4$, $\Lambda=0$ only two of them are not orthogonal, ∂_t and ∂_ϕ . The fields α , ν , Ω and Ψ_μ again depend on r and z . These metric components

² In this representation of the potential, (17) is invariant under the complex transformation $\xi \rightarrow \xi e^{i\vartheta}$ for any phase $\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}$ and stationary solutions can be easily generated from static ones (see [16] and [8] for more details).

extend the Lewis-Papapetrou form of the previous section [8]. Indeed the field equations take the form

$$\Delta\alpha = -2\Lambda\alpha^{\frac{1}{D-2}}e^{2\nu} \quad (19)$$

$$0 = \vec{\nabla} \cdot (e^\Omega \alpha \vec{\nabla} A) \quad (20)$$

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\alpha \vec{\nabla} \Omega) = 2\epsilon e^\Omega (\vec{\nabla} A)^2 \quad (21)$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot (\alpha \vec{\nabla} \Psi_\mu) = 0, \quad \mu = 0 \dots d-3 \quad (22)$$

$$2\nu_{,u} \frac{\alpha_u}{\alpha} - \frac{\alpha_{,uu}}{\alpha} = \frac{1}{4} \left(\Psi_{0,u}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{,u}^2 \right) + \frac{\epsilon}{2} e^\Omega (A_{,u})^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{D-4} \Psi_{i,u}^2, \quad (u \leftrightarrow v) \quad (23)$$

$$(24)$$

If $\Lambda \neq 0$, α is no longer a harmonic function. Furthermore, α fixes ν from (19) which is no longer directly given by (23) as for $\Lambda = 0$. Pictorially α is a messenger component relating all the equations together. This is in contrast to the case when $\Lambda = 0$ and equations (22) are decoupled from (20) and (21) (see the geometric interpretation in [8]). The components Ψ_μ and Ω play a similar role to the Weyl potential λ of (3). When $D = 4$ we have by definition $\Psi_\mu = 0$. It will be useful here to rewrite the field equations in terms of the dual potential ω ,

$$(-\partial_z \omega, \partial_r \omega) = e^\Omega \alpha (\partial_r A, \partial_z A). \quad (25)$$

As it was demonstrated in [8], using (25), we can rewrite (20), (21) in terms of a single complex differential equation with respect to the complex potential

$$\mathcal{E} = e^{\frac{\Omega}{2}} \alpha + i\omega, \quad (26)$$

In fact, we can go one step further and rewrite the field equations with respect to $\mathcal{E}$. We get

$$\text{Re}(\mathcal{E}) \Delta\alpha = -2\Lambda e^{2\bar{\nu}} \alpha^{\frac{D}{2(D-2)}} \quad (27)$$

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\alpha \vec{\nabla} \mathcal{E}) = \frac{(\vec{\nabla} \mathcal{E})^2}{\text{Re}(\mathcal{E})} + \text{Re}(\mathcal{E}) \frac{\Delta\alpha}{\alpha} \quad (28)$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot (\alpha \vec{\nabla} \Psi_\mu) = 0, \quad \mu = 0 \dots D-4 \quad (29)$$

$$2\bar{\nu}_{,u} \frac{\alpha_u}{\alpha} - \frac{\alpha_{,uu}}{\alpha} = \frac{1}{4} \Psi_{0,u}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathcal{E}_{,u} \mathcal{E}_{,u}^*}{\text{Re}(\mathcal{E})^2} + \sum_{i=1}^{D-4} \Psi_{i,u}^2 \quad (u \leftrightarrow v) \quad (30)$$

$$(31)$$

where we have redefined for convenience $2\nu = 2\bar{\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \ln \alpha - \frac{\Omega}{2}$. Equation (28) extends the Ernst equation [16] to the presence of a cosmological constant—indeed the extra term in $\Delta\alpha$ drops out if $\Lambda = 0$ and we get (15).

We stress here that Weyl coordinates (3) cannot be used once $\Lambda \neq 0$. We cannot therefore set $\alpha = R$ and the equations (27-30) are no longer integrable. We noticed however, that if we consider (16) then the Ernst potential of the Schwarzschild black hole (9) is simply $\xi = x$ in spheroidal coordinates. Given that we have the extension of Ernst's equation for $\Lambda \neq 0$ we can

now consider doing the same trick for $\Lambda \neq 0$. Consider first the four dimensional Kottler black hole with line element

$$ds^2 = r^2 \left(\frac{dr^2}{r^2 V(r)} + d\theta^2 \right) - V(r) dt^2 + r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\psi^2 \quad (32)$$

and $V(r) = 1 + k^2 r^2 - \frac{2M}{r}$.³ Note that we will bypass Weyl coordinates by setting $\frac{dr}{rV(r)} = dr$ and not explicitly do the integral which involves elliptic functions. Comparing with (18) we now read off the metric components in (r, θ) ,

$$\alpha = r \sin \theta \sqrt{V}, \quad \mathcal{E} = V, \quad e^{2\nu} = r^2 \alpha^{1/2}. \quad (33)$$

and therefore we set

$$\frac{x-1}{x+1} = V(r) \Rightarrow x = -1 - \frac{2}{k^2 r^2 - \frac{2M}{r}} \quad (34)$$

On the other hand setting as before $y = \cos \theta$ the metric (32) takes the form

$$ds^2 = -\frac{x-1}{x+1} dt^2 + r^2 \left[\frac{dx^2}{(x^2-1)(x+1)^2} \frac{1}{(r^2 k^2 + \frac{2M}{r})^2} + \frac{dy^2}{1-y^2} + (1-y^2) d\phi^2 \right] \quad (35)$$

and r is the real positive root of the third order polynomial, $k^2 r^3 + \frac{2}{x+1} r - 2M = 0$ with respect to the new radial coordinate x . Note from (34) that there is an additional coordinate singularity when $V = 1$. We will come back to this for the more feasible case of $D = 5$. If we set $k = 0$ we recover the usual spheroidal patch of (32) [3]. It is of more interest here to set $M = 0$ in order to study adS and dS. For the former case we start with the global patch of adS and setting $X = -x$ we get,

$$ds^2 = -\frac{X+1}{X-1} dt^2 + \frac{dX^2}{2k^2(X+1)(X-1)^2} + \frac{1}{X-1} \left[\frac{dy^2}{1-y^2} + (1-y^2) d\phi^2 \right] \quad (36)$$

The coordinate range is $X > 1$, $-1 < y < 1$ and only covers half of anti de-Sitter space with the boundary sitting at $X = 1$. The coordinate transformation for planar or hyperbolic slicings of adS goes through the same way. For $\Lambda = 0$ we saw that in the (R, Z) -plane the integral curves $x = \text{constant}$ are hyperbolas. So what happens for adS? To see this set $kr = \cosh ku$ which takes us to the usual global adS patch. Note now from the form of (1) that the Weyl coordinate r reads,

$$r = \ln \left| \tanh \frac{ku}{2} \right| \quad (37)$$

which means that after a conformal transformation according to (8) we have $X = \coth(ku)$ and $y = \cos(\theta)$. The integral curves are therefore similar but as we noticed earlier we need two patches to cover $u \in]-\infty, +\infty[$ with a branch cut at $u = 0$. The adS boundary is then

³ In the presence of a negative cosmological constant the generalised metric reads, $ds^2 = -V dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{V(r)} + r^2 dK_{D-2}^2$ with $V(r) = \kappa + k^2 r^2 - \frac{2M}{r^{D-3}}$, adS curvature scale $2\Lambda = -(D-1)(D-2)k^2$ and constant curvature of the $D-2$ compact space $\kappa = 0, 1, -1$. Note that in the presence of a negative cosmological constant we can obtain a black hole geometry with a flat (compact) horizon-the cosmological constant providing the necessary curvature scale. Furthermore, switching-off M gives us different slicings of constant curvature spacetime.

at $X = 1$ and at $X = -1$ for the second patch. For de-Sitter set $k^2 = -a^2$ starting from the locally static patch (32) to get:

$$ds^2 = -\frac{x-1}{x+1}dt^2 + \frac{dx^2}{2a^2(x+1)(x^2-1)} + \frac{1}{1+x} \left(\frac{dy^2}{1-y^2} + (1-y^2)d\phi^2 \right) \quad (38)$$

The coordinate range is $x > -1$ and the usual horizon at $r = 1/a$ is not in this range. Therefore the spheroidals cover a yet smaller patch than the usual static de-Sitter (9).

For $D = 5$ there is an interesting twist. Proceeding as before we get

$$\mathcal{E} = V(r)(r \cos \theta) \quad (39)$$

and now $\mathcal{E}$ is a function of r and θ . Note however that $\mathcal{E}_0 = r \cos \theta$ is simply the Ernst potential for flat spacetime (i.e. when we set $k = 0$ and $M = 0$) and we can therefore neglect it keeping the Ernst potential modulo flat spacetime (this also agrees with [18] for $D = 5$ and $\Lambda = 0$). In other words we once more have (34) (for $D = 5$) which yields,

$$x + 1 = -\frac{2}{k^2 r^2 - \frac{2M}{r^2}}. \quad (40)$$

Note that there is an extra coordinate singularity at $r_S^4 = \frac{\mu}{k^2}$ (or $V = 1$) which is to the right of the event horizon at $r = r_H$ or $x = 1$. This singularity goes to asymptotic infinity as the cosmological constant goes to zero $k \rightarrow 0$ and disappears for the flat case. Close inspection therefore reveals that for $r > r_H$ there are two branches in spheroidal coordinates, one for $r_H < r < r_{S-}$, $x > 1$ and one for $r > r_{S+}$, $x < -1$. Setting $y = \cos 2\theta$ the first branch reads

$$ds^2 = -\frac{x-1}{x+1}dt^2 + \frac{\sqrt{1+2Mk^2(x+1)^2}-1}{k^2(x+1)} \left[\frac{dx^2}{4(x^2-1)(1+2Mk^2(x+1)^2)} + \frac{dy^2}{4(1-y^2)} + (1-y)d\phi^2 + (y+1)d\psi^2 \right] \quad (41)$$

and describes the region close to the black hole event horizon. In particular the limit $M \rightarrow 0$ is ill-defined in this branch whereas we have a smooth $k \rightarrow 0$ limit. For the second branch setting as before $X = -x$ (ie. $X > 1$) and using the same angular coordinate,

$$ds^2 = -\frac{X+1}{X-1}dt^2 + \frac{\sqrt{1+2Mk^2(X-1)^2}+1}{k^2(X-1)} \left[\frac{dX^2}{4(X^2-1)(1+2Mk^2(X-1)^2)} + \frac{dy^2}{4(1-y^2)} + (1-y)d\phi^2 + (y+1)d\psi^2 \right] \quad (42)$$

This branch has the correct limit of $M \rightarrow 0$ giving the equivalent of (36) in $D = 5$ and describes the region close to the adS boundary. An interesting open question, regarding the extension of spheroidals we have undertaken here, is if they can give the rotating generalisation of (9) as so happens for $\Lambda = 0$ [16], [18].

As observed in [8] all equations (28-30) apart from (27) are independent of D whereas of course the metric (18) depends on the dimension. Therefore starting from a $D = 4$ stationary and axisymmetric solution with $\Lambda = 0$ we can construct an infinite number of $(D+n)$ -dimensional solutions parametrised by the n extra Weyl potentials Ψ_μ -solutions of (29). In fact we have

$$2(\nu_{(D),u} - \nu_{(D+1),u})\frac{\alpha_u}{\alpha} = -\frac{1}{4}\Psi_u^2, \quad (u \leftrightarrow v). \quad (43)$$

where the subscript D refers to the spacetime dimension for ν . Setting $\sigma = \nu_{(D),u} - \nu_{(D+1),u}$ we rewrite the above equation in terms of R and Z in Weyl coordinates:

$$\begin{aligned} 4\sigma_{,Z} &= -2R\Psi_{,R}\Psi_{,Z} \\ 8\sigma_{,R} &= R(\Psi_{,R}^2 - \Psi_{,Z}^2) \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

In [8] examples were given where one started from a higher dimensional solution and found its seed $D = 4$ solution. Here we will do the opposite and uplift solutions making use of the Weyl formalism [12]. Consider as our seed metric in $D = 4$ that of Kerr,

$$\begin{aligned} ds_4^2 &= -\frac{\Delta}{\rho^2} (dt - a \sin^2 \theta d\varphi)^2 + \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\rho^2} (adt - (r^2 + a^2)d\varphi)^2 \\ &+ \rho^2 \left(\frac{dr^2}{\Delta} + d\theta^2 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

where M is the black hole mass, a the angular momentum parameter and

$$\Delta = r^2 + a^2 - 2Mr, \quad \rho^2 = r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta. \quad (46)$$

Comparing with (18) we now read off the relevant components

$$\alpha = \sin \theta, \quad e^{2\nu} = \rho^2 \alpha^{1/2} \sqrt{\Delta}, \quad (47)$$

$$A = \frac{2Ma \sin^2 \theta}{\rho^2 - 2M}, \quad e^\Omega = \frac{(\rho^2 - 2M)^2}{\Delta \rho^4 \sin^2 \theta}. \quad (48)$$

$$(49)$$

In order to uplift the solutions we will use Weyl coordinates (3) which are related to (45) by the relations

$$R = \sqrt{\Delta} \sin \theta = \alpha, \quad Z = (r - M) \cos \theta. \quad (50)$$

Note that if we set $\lambda = \Psi/\sqrt{6}$ in 29 and $\chi = -4\sigma/3$ in (44) we obtain the 4 dimensional Weyl equations, (4) and (5) which are solutions of the static and axially symmetric metric (3). We have thus demonstrated the following: for each seed solution of $\Lambda = 0$, (27-30), for our example here (45), we can take any Weyl solution in 4 dimensions (in the form (3)), use the coordinate transform relating it to the seed coordinate system (here (50)) and thus obtain a $D = 5$ solution given by,

$$ds^2 = \rho^2 R^{-\frac{1}{6}} e^{\frac{3\chi(R)}{2}} (dr^2 + dz^2) + R^{2/3} e^{-\lambda(R)} \left[\left[-e^{\frac{\Omega}{2}} (dt + Ad\varphi)^2 + e^{\frac{-\Omega}{2}} d\varphi^2 \right] + R^{2/3} e^{2\lambda(R)} d\psi^2 \right] \quad (51)$$

We emphasize that R and Z are functions of r and z ie verify (50).

Lets consider a flat potential (6) as an example. Start by noting that (4) has the obvious symmetry, $\lambda \rightarrow l\lambda$, $l \in R$. As it was pointed out in [8], generically, when uplifting solutions asymptotic flatness is not guaranteed. Indeed in 5 dimensions, the extra dimensional $d\psi^2$ component in (51) reads $R^{2/3} e^{2\lambda}$ and given the form of R in (44) an obvious problem will be to get this extra direction asymptotically flat. So lets start with $\lambda = l \ln R$ where $l \in R$. It is straight forward to integrate and we get

$$ds^2 = \rho^2 R^{\frac{9l^2-1}{6}} (dr^2 + dz^2) + R^{\frac{2}{3}-l} \left[\left[-e^{\frac{\Omega}{2}} (dt + Ad\varphi)^2 + e^{\frac{-\Omega}{2}} d\varphi^2 \right] + R^{\frac{2}{3}+2l} d\psi^2 \right] \quad (52)$$

This is a solution to Einstein's equations for all l where one uses (50). For example, choosing $l = -1/3$ we get $R^{2/3}e^{2\lambda} = 1$. It is then easy to check that this is the Kerr string solution in 5 dimensions. One can follow the same technique using this time the Weyl potential for the static black hole, (7) and adjusting the free constants in such a way as to obtain an asymptotically flat spacetime. It would be particularly interesting to extend this method to the case where $\Lambda \neq 0$. By analogy to what we have done here, it is possible that a starting point for such a generalisation would be to find how the additional fields of (27-30) transform upon keeping the Ernst potential $\mathcal{E}$ fixed.

Acknowledgments

It is a great pleasure to thank my collaborators in [8] David Langlois, Danièle Steer and Dr. Robin Zegers as well as the organisers of the XBII conference. I also thank Roberto Emparan and Ruth Gregory for discussions on spheroidals. I finally thank the Galileo Galilei Institute for Theoretical Physics for their hospitality and the INFN for partial financial support.

References

- [1] R. C. Myers and M. J. Perry, *Annals Phys.* **172**, 304 (1986). G. T. Horowitz and A. Strominger, *Nucl. Phys. B* **360**, 197 (1991).
- [2] R. Emparan and H. S. Reall, *arXiv:hep-th/0608012*. R. Emparan and H. S. Reall, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 101101 (2002) [*arXiv:hep-th/0110260*]. H. Elvang, R. Emparan, D. Mateos and H. S. Reall, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 211302 (2004) [*arXiv:hep-th/0407065*].
- [3] T. Harmark, *hep-th/0408141*. *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 124002 (2004) [*arXiv:hep-th/0408141*].
- [4] R. Emparan and H.S. Reall, *Phys. Rev. D* **65** (2002) 084025, *hep-th/0110258*.
- [5] J. M. Maldacena, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2**, 231 (1998) [*Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **38**, 1113 (1999)] [*arXiv:hep-th/9711200*].
- [6] S.W. Hawking, C.J. Hunter and M.M. Taylor-Robinson, *hep-th/9811056* G. W. Gibbons, H. Lu, D. N. Page and C. N. Pope, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 171102 (2004) [*arXiv:hep-th/0409155*]. G. W. Gibbons, H. Lu, D. N. Page and C. N. Pope, *J. Geom. Phys.* **53**, 49 (2005) [*arXiv:hep-th/0404008*].
- [7] C. Charmousis and R. Gregory, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **21**, 527 (2004) [*arXiv:gr-qc/0306069*].
- [8] C. Charmousis, D. Langlois, D. Steer and R. Zegers, *arXiv:gr-qc/0610091*.
- [9] T. Tanaka, *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* **148**, 307 (2003) [*arXiv:gr-qc/0203082*]. R. Emparan, A. Fabbri and N. Kaloper, *JHEP* **0208**, 043 (2002) [*arXiv:hep-th/0206155*].
- [10] A. L. Fitzpatrick, L. Randall and T. Wiseman, *arXiv:hep-th/0608208*.
- [11] H. Stephani, D. Kramer, M. MacCallum, C. Hoenselaers and E. Herlt, , Cambridge University Press, 1984.
- [12] H. Weyl, *Annalen Physik* **54**, 117 (1917).
- [13] H. Quevedo, *Phys. Rev. D* **33**, 324 (1986).
- [14] W. Israel and K. A. Khan, *Nuovo Cim.* **33**, 331 (1964). R. C. Myers, *Phys. Rev. D* **35**, 455 (1987). H. F. Dowker and S. N. Thambyahpillai, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **20**, 127 (2003) [*arXiv:gr-qc/0105044*].
- [15] T. Lewis, *Proc Roy Soc Lond. A* **136**, 176 (1932). A. Papapetrou, *Ann. Phys.* **12** (1953) 309. A. Papapetrou, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré* **A4** (1966) 83.
- [16] F.J. Ernst, *Phys. Rev.* **167** (1968) 1175. F.J. Ernst, *Phys. Rev.* **168** (1968) 1415
- [17] P. T. Chrusciel, G. M. Greuel, R. Meinel and S. J. Szybka, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **23**, 4399 (2006) [*arXiv:gr-qc/0603041*]. G. Neugebauer and R. Meinel, "Progress in relativistic gravitational theory using the inverse scattering *J. Math. Phys.* **44**, 3407 (2003) [*arXiv:gr-qc/0304086*]. R. Meinel and G. Neugebauer, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **12**, 2045 (1995) [*arXiv:gr-qc/0302114*].
- [18] T. Mishima and H. Iguchi, *hep-th/0504018*
- [19] D.M. Zipoy, *J. Maths. Phys* **7** (1966) 1137.
- [20] F. Kottler, *Ann. Phys.* **56** 401.